IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

TUESDAY, THE NINTH DAY OF NOVEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE N.V. RAMANA

-

WRIT PETITION NO.7184 of 1996

Between:

Syed Faiyaz Latif s/o Syed Shahid Latif
resident of Hyderabad.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 Govt. of A.P rep. by its Secretary,
Education Department, Secretariat, Hyderabad.
2 University of Health Sciences,
Vijayawada.
3 Deccan College of Medical Sciences, rep. by its Principal,
Zafar Garh, P.O. Kanchanbagh,
Hyderabad.,
4 Medical Council of India, rep. by its Secretary, Aiwan-1-E.
Galib Marg, Kotla Road
New Delhi.

(Petition as against R4- dismissed for default as per court order dt. 14/2/03)

....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed herein the High Court may be pleased to Examine the Records relating to the admission of the Petitioner into I year M.B.B.S. in the Academic Year 1994-95 in the 3rd Respondent College, declare that Rule 4(3) (b) (1) (i) prescribing Upper Age limit of 22 Years as on the Date of Commencement of Admission is illegal, void and unconstitutional, the Respondents are bound by the principles of promissory estoppel and equitable estoppel and consequently issue an appropriate Writ, Order or direction forbearing the Respondents from interfering with the admission of the Petitioner made in December 1994 into I year M.B.B.S. Course into 3rd Respondent College in any manner what so ever.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.RAMA RAO PHILKHANA (Not present)

Counsel for the Respondent No.1: GP FOR EDUCATION (Not present)

Counsel for the Respondent No.2: Sri K.G. K. Prasad, SC for University of Health Sciences (Not present)

Counsel for the Respondent No.3: Sri Mirza Imamulla Baig. (Not present)

Counsel for the Respondent No.4: None appeared

The Court made the following :

ORDER:

Challenging for prescribing the upper age limit of 22 years as on the date of commencement of admission into I year M.B.B.S Course for the academic year 1994-95 in 3rd respondent-College the petitioner filed the present writ petition.

When the matter is taken up today, none appears for the petitioner as well as the respondents. By lapse of time the prayer in the writ petition has become infructuous.

Hence, the Writ Petition is dismissed as having become infructuous. No costs.

_____

(N.V. RAMANA, J)

Date: 09.11.2004

Nsr

To

1 The Secretary, Education Department, Govt. of A.P, Secretariat,

Hyderabad.

2 University of Health Sciences,
Vijayawada.

3 The Principal, Deccan College of Medical Sciences,
Zafar Garh, P.O. Kanchanbagh,
Hyderabad.,

4 Medical Council of India, rep. by its Secretary, Aiwan-1-E.
Galib Marg, Kotla Road
New Delhi.

5 Two CCs to GP for Education, High Court of A.P., Hyderabad (OUT)

6 Two CD copies.